

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 JEFF STIVERS,

No. C 06-05469 WHA

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 NAPA COUNTY; and DOES 1–10, Inclusive,

**ORDER APPROVING CONSENT
DECREE AND SETTLEMENT
SUBJECT TO CERTAIN
CONDITIONS**

13 Defendants.
14 /

15 The consent decree and settlement regarding damages, expenses, and attorney's fees are
16 approved with these caveats:

17 1. This is not a class action; no one other than plaintiff Stivers is releasing any
18 claim. Therefore, defendants should not assert this consent decree as a bar or in
19 mitigation of any other claim by any other plaintiff.

20 2. By April 10, 2008, counsel for both sides shall certify in writing to the Court the
21 extend of all work completed and all work left to be done, if any. The decree
22 requires all work to be done before that date. A case management conference
23 will be held on **APRIL 17, 2008, AT 11:00 A.M.**

24 3. Plaintiff's counsel must inspect the work done and make sure the consent decree
25 is timely followed. Any material delay must promptly be brought to the Court's
26 attention.

27
28 Dated: October 10, 2007.


WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE